

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--|
| 10/700,177 | 11/03/2003 | Mukesh K. Puri | 03-0291 | 3593 | |
| 24319 LSI CORPOR | 7590 11/07/200 ATION | 8 | EXAMINER | | |
| 1621 BARBEI | 1621 BARBER LANE | | | KERVEROS, JAMES C | |
| MS: D-105 MILPITAS, C | A 95035 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| , - | | | 2117 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 11/07/2008 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-------------------|--------------|--|--|
| 10/700,177 | PURI ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| JAMES C. KERVEROS | 2117 | | |

| | JAMES C. KERVEROS | 2117 | |
|---|---|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 30 October 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | R ALLOWANCE. | |
| M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must limely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appendor for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire te Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (| dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | date of the final rejection | n. |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(|). | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the filed | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | | | |
| ∑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better. | nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); | E below); | |
| appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c | | | 10 100000 101 |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 | | | |
| The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor | mpliant Amendment (I | PTOL-324). |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | owable if submitted in a separate, t | imely filed amendmer | nt canceling the |
| For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | be entered and an e | xplanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | l and/or appellant fail: | s to provide a |
| The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach- | ed. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (3. Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| | /JAMES C KERVEROS Primary Examiner, Art U | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

The amendment after final rejection filed on 10/30/208 has not been entered, because the newly added limitations in the independent claims changes the scope of the claims that would require further search and examination.

Applicant's arguments filed 10/30/208, with respect to the ejection Claims 1 and 3-18 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Zorian (US 7,237,154), have been fully considered but they are not persuasive, as set forth in the Final Office Action, dated 8/28/2008.

Date: 6 November 2008 Office Action: Advisory

U.S. Patent & Trademark Office Alexandria, VA 22314. Tel: (571) 272-3824, Fax: (571) 273-3824 Email: james.kerveros@uspto.gov